arXiv:1304.7033v1 [math.MG] 25 Apr 2013

EQUILATERAL SETS AND A SCHÜTTE THEOREM FOR THE 4-NORM

KONRAD J. SWANEPOEL

Abstract. A well-known theorem of Schütte (1963) gives a sharp lower bound for the ratio between the maximum distance and minimum distance between $n+2$ points in n-dimensional Euclidean space. In this brief note we adapt Bárány's elegant proof of this theorem to the space ℓ_4^n. This gives a new proof that the largest cardinality of an equilateral set in ℓ_4^n is $n+1$, and gives a constructive bound for an interval $(4-\varepsilon_n, 4+\varepsilon_n)$ of values of p close to 4 for which it is guaranteed that the largest cardinality of an equilateral set in ℓ_p^n is $n+1$.

1. Introduction

A subset S of a normed space X with norm $\|\cdot\|)$ is called *equilateral* if for some $\lambda > 0$, $\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\| = \lambda$ for all distinct $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in S$. Denote the largest cardinality of an equilateral set in a finite-dimensional normed space X by $e(X)$.

For $p \geq 1$ define the p-norm of a vector $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_p = \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

When dealing with a sequence $\boldsymbol{x}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of vectors, we denote the coordinates of $\boldsymbol{x}_i$ as $(x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,n})$. Denote the normed space $\mathbb{R}^n$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ by ℓ_p^n. It is not difficult to find examples of equilateral sets showing that $e(\ell_p^n) \geq n+1$.

It is a simple exercise in linear algebra to show that $e(\ell_2^n) \leq n+1$. A problem of Kusner [3] asks if the same is true for ℓ_p^n, where $p > 1$. For the current best upper bounds on $e(\ell_p^n)$, see [1]. Here we discuss only the results that decide various cases of Kusner's question. A compactness argument gives for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the existence of $\varepsilon_n > 0$ such that if $p \in (2-\varepsilon_n, 2+\varepsilon_n)$, then $e(\ell_p^n) = n+1$. However, this argument gives no information on ε_n. As observed by Cliff Smyth [7], the following theorem of Schütte [5] can be used to give an explicit lower bound to ε_n in terms of n:

Theorem 1 (Schütte [5]). *Let S be a set of at least $n+2$ points in ℓ_2^n. Then*

$$\frac{\max\limits_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in S} \|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_2}{\min\limits_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in S, \boldsymbol{x}\neq\boldsymbol{y}} \|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_2} \geq \begin{cases} \left(1+\dfrac{2}{n}\right)^{1/2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \left(1+\dfrac{2}{n-(n+2)^{-1}}\right)^{1/2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The lower bounds in this theorem are sharp.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B20; Secondary 52A21, 52C17.

Corollary 2 (Smyth [7])**.** *If* $|p-2| < \frac{4(1+o(1))}{n\log n}$ *then the largest cardinality of an equilateral set in* ℓ_p^n *is* $e(\ell_p^n) = n+1$*.*

The dependence of $\varepsilon_n = \frac{4(1+o(1))}{n\log n}$ on n is necessary, since $e(\ell_p^n) > n+1$ if $1 \le p < 2 - \frac{1+o(1)}{(\ln 2)n}$ [8]. (These are the only cases where it is known that the answer to Kusner's question is negative.)

For ℓ_4^n there is also a linear algebra proof that $e(\ell_4^n) = n+1$ [8]. As in the case of $p=2$, compactness gives an ineffective $\varepsilon_n > 0$ such that if $p \in (4-\varepsilon_n, 4+\varepsilon_n)$, then $e(\ell_p^n) = n+1$. An obvious question now is whether Schütte's theorem can be adapted to ℓ_4^n, so that a conclusion similar to Corollary 2 can be made for p close to 4. Proofs of Schütte's theorem have been given by Schütte [5], Schoenberg [4], Seidel [6] and Bárány [2]. It is the purpose of this note to show that Bárány's simple and elegant proof of Schütte's theorem can be adapted to the $p=4$ case.

Theorem 3. *Let* S *be a set of at least* $n+2$ *points in* ℓ_4^n*. Then*

$$\frac{\max\limits_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in S} \|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_4}{\min\limits_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in S, \boldsymbol{x}\neq\boldsymbol{y}} \|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_4} \geq \begin{cases} \left(1+\dfrac{2}{n}\right)^{1/4} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \left(1+\dfrac{2}{n-(n+2)^{-1}}\right)^{1/4} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Corollary 4. *If* $|p-4| < \frac{8(1+o(1))}{n\log n}$ *then the largest cardinality of an equilateral set in* ℓ_p^n *is* $e(\ell_p^n) = n+1$*.*

We do not know whether the lower bounds in Theorem 3 are sharp. The best example that we know of is constructed as follows. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the points $\boldsymbol{x} = (1+x, x, x, \dots, x)$ and $\boldsymbol{y} = (y, y, \dots, y)$, both in ℓ_4^k. We would like to choose x and y such that $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_4 = \|\boldsymbol{y}\|_4$ and $\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_4 = 2^{1/4}$. This is equivalent to the following two simultaneous equations:

$$\begin{cases} (1+x)^4 + (k-1)x^4 = ky^4 \\ (1+x-y)^4 + (k-1)(x-y)^4 = 2. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

For each k it can be shown that there is a unique solution satisfying $y > 0$. The values of x and y can be expanded asymptotically as $k \to \infty$:

$$x = -k^{-1/2} + O(k^{-1}) \text{ and } y = k^{-1/4} - k^{-3/4} + O(k^{-1}).$$

Then also

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_4 = \|\boldsymbol{y}\|_4 = 1 + k^{-1/2} + O(k^{-3/4}).$$

Write $\boldsymbol{x}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_k$ for the k permutations of $\boldsymbol{x}$ and set $\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{y}$. Then (1) gives that $\{\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}\}$ is equilateral in ℓ_4^k. Finally, let $n = 2k$. Then for the set

$$S = \{(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{o}) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k+1\} \cup \{(\boldsymbol{o}, \boldsymbol{x}_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k+1\}$$

of $n+2$ points in ℓ_4^n we have

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in S} \|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_4 = 2^{1/4}\,\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_4$$

and

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in S, \boldsymbol{x}\neq\boldsymbol{y}} \|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_4 = 2^{1/4}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\max\limits_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in S}\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_4}{\min\limits_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in S,\boldsymbol{x}\neq\boldsymbol{y}}\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_4}=\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_4=1+\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}+O(n^{-3/4}),$$

which is unfortunately far from the lower bound of $1+\frac{1}{2n}+O(n^{-2})$ given by Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Consider any $\boldsymbol{x}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{x}_{n+2}\in\mathbb{R}^n$, and let

$$\mu=\min_{i\neq j}\|\boldsymbol{x}_i-\boldsymbol{x}_j\|_4$$

and

$$M=\max_{i,j}\|\boldsymbol{x}_i-\boldsymbol{x}_j\|_4 .$$

By Radon's theorem there is a partition $A\cup B$ of $\{\boldsymbol{x}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{x}_{n+2}\}$ such that the convex hulls of A and B intersect. Without loss of generality we may translate the points so that $\boldsymbol{o}$ lies in both convex hulls. Write $A=\{\boldsymbol{a}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{a}_K\}$ and $B=\{\boldsymbol{b}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{b}_L\}$ where $K+L=n+2$ and $K,L\geq 1$. Thus there exist $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_K,\beta_1,\dots,\beta_L\geq 0$ such that

$$\left.\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i=1,\quad\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i\boldsymbol{a}_i=\boldsymbol{o},\\ \sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j=1,\quad\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j\boldsymbol{b}_j=\boldsymbol{o}.\end{aligned}\right\}\tag{2}$$

Also, for all $i\in[K]$ and $j\in[L]$,

$$\|\boldsymbol{a}_i-\boldsymbol{a}_j\|_4^4\leq M^4\quad\text{if } i\neq j,\tag{3}$$

$$\|\boldsymbol{b}_i-\boldsymbol{b}_j\|_4^4\leq M^4\quad\text{if } i\neq j,\tag{4}$$

$$\text{and}\quad\|\boldsymbol{a}_i-\boldsymbol{b}_j\|_4^4\geq\mu^4.\tag{5}$$

Apply the operation $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i\sum\limits_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}}^{K}\alpha_j$ to both sides of the inequality (3):

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i^2\right)M^4=\sum_{i=1}^{K}(1-\alpha_i)M^4=\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i\sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}}^{K}\alpha_jM^4\\ &\geq\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i\sum_{j=1}^{K}\alpha_j\sum_{m=1}^{n}(a_{i,m}-a_{j,m})^4\\ &=\sum_{m=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{K}\sum_{j=1}^{K}\alpha_i\alpha_j(a_{i,m}^4-4a_{i,m}^3a_{j,m}+6a_{i,m}^2a_{j,m}^2-4a_{i,m}a_{j,m}^4+a_{j,m}^4)\\ &=\sum_{m=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_ia_{i,m}^4-4\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_ia_{i,m}^3\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{K}\alpha_ja_{j,m}\right)\\ &\qquad+6\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_ia_{i,m}^2\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{K}\alpha_ja_{j,m}^2\right)-4\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_ia_{i,m}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{K}\alpha_ja_{j,m}^3\right)\end{aligned}$$

$$+\sum_{m=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{K}\alpha_j a_{j,m}^4,$$

which by (2) simplifies to

$$\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i^2\right)M^4 \geq 2\sum_{m=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}^4 + 6\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}^2\right)^2. \tag{6}$$

Similarly, if we apply $\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{L}\beta_i\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{L}\beta_j$ to (4), we obtain

$$\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j^2\right)M^4 \geq 2\sum_{m=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_i b_{j,m}^4 + 6\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j b_{j,m}^2\right)^2. \tag{7}$$

Next apply $\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j$ to (5):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^4 &= \sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j\mu^4 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j\sum_{m=1}^{n}(a_{i,m}-b_{j,m})^4\\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{K}\sum_{j=1}^{L}\alpha_i\beta_j(a_{i,m}^4-4a_{i,m}^3b_{j,m}+6a_{i,m}^2b_{j,m}^2-4a_{i,m}b_{j,m}^3+b_{j,m}^4)\\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}^4\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j\right)-4\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}^3\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j b_{j,m}\right)\\
&\qquad+6\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}^2\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j b_{j,m}^2\right)-4\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j b_{j,m}^3\right)\\
&\qquad+\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j b_{j,m}^4\right)\\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}^4+6\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}^2\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j b_{j,m}^2\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j b_{j,m}^4,
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}^4+\sum_{m=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j b_{j,m}^4 \geq \mu^4-6\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}^2\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j b_{j,m}^2\right). \tag{8}$$

Add (6) and (7) together:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(2-\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i^2-\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j^2\right)M^4\\
&\geq 2\sum_{m=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}^4+2\sum_{m=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j b_{j,m}^4+6\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}^2\right)^2+6\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j b_{j,m}^2\right)^2\\
&\overset{(8)}{\geq} 2\mu^4-12\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}^2\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j b_{j,m}^2\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&+6\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}^2\right)^2+6\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j b_{j,m}^2\right)^2\\
&=2\mu^4+6\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}^2\right)^2-2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}^2\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j b_{j,m}^2\right)+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j b_{j,m}^2\right)^2\right)\\
&=2\mu^4+6\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i a_{i,m}^2-\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j b_{j,m}^2\right)^2\\
&\geq 2\mu^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{M^4}{\mu^4}\geq\frac{2}{2-\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i^2-\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j^2}. \tag{9}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, $\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i^2\geq 1/K$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j^2\geq 1/L$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{K}\alpha_i^2+\sum_{j=1}^{L}\beta_j^2\geq\frac{1}{K}+\frac{1}{L}\geq\begin{cases}\frac{2}{n+2}+\frac{2}{n+2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,}\\ \frac{2}{n+1}+\frac{2}{n+3} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.}\end{cases}$$

Substitute this estimate into (9) to obtain

$$\frac{M^4}{\mu^4}\geq\begin{cases}1+\dfrac{2}{n} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,}\\ 1+\dfrac{2}{n-(n+2)^{-1}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,}\end{cases}$$

which finishes the proof. ☐

References

[1] N. Alon and P. Pudlák, *Equilateral sets in l_p^n*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), 467–482.

[2] I. Bárány, *The densest $(n+2)$-set in R^n*, Intuitive Geometry (1991), Coll. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 63, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1994, pp. 7–10.

[3] R. K. Guy, *An olla-podrida of open problems, often oddly posed*, Amer. Math. Monthly **90** (1983), 196–199.

[4] I. J. Schoenberg, *Linkages and distance geometry. II. On sets of $n+2$ points in E_n that are most nearly equilateral*, Indag. Math. **31** 1969, 53–63.

[5] K. Schütte, *Minimale Durchmesser endlicher Punktmengen mit vorgeschriebenem Mindestabstand*, Math. Ann. **150** (1963), 91–98.

[6] J. J. Seidel, *Quasiregular two-distance sets*, Indag. Math. **31** (1969), 64–69.

[7] C. Smyth, *Equilateral sets in ℓ_p^d*, In: Thirty Essays on Geometric Graph Theory, ed. J. Pach, Springer, New York, 2013. pp. 483–488.

[8] K. J. Swanepoel, *A problem of Kusner on equilateral sets*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **83** (2004), 164–170.

Department of Mathematics, London School of Economics and Political Science, Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE, United Kingdom.

E-mail address: `k.swanepoel@lse.ac.uk`